

The Galois module structure of integers in tame radical extensions.

Ilaria Del Corso
Università di Pisa



Hopf algebras & Galois module theory
University of Nebraska at Omaha ●
May 30, 2024

Motivation: I want to listen to Paul's talk!



I needed a strategy . . .



. . .

I asked Paul to give a coordinate talk!

Consequences: I have to do my part...



Galois module structure

- ▶ L/K finite Galois extension
- ▶ $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$

L is a left $K[G]$ -module under the action

$$\left(\sum_{\sigma \in G} k_{\sigma} \sigma\right) \cdot x = \sum_{\sigma \in G} k_{\sigma} \sigma(x).$$

When we say that L is a Galois module, we are referring to this action.

Normal Basis Theorem

L is a free $K[G]$ -module of rank 1, namely

$$L = K[G]\omega$$

for some $\omega \in L$. $\{\sigma(\omega)\}_{\sigma \in G}$ is a K -basis of L called a normal basis.

The Number Theory side

If L and K are number fields (or p -adic fields), and denote by \mathcal{O}_L and \mathcal{O}_K their rings of integers.

\mathcal{O}_L is a left $\mathcal{O}_K[G]$ -module.

Question

Is \mathcal{O}_L free (of rank one) over $\mathcal{O}_K[G]$?

In this case, $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[G]\omega$ and we call $\{\sigma(\omega)\}_{\sigma \in G}$ a normal integral basis (NIB) of L/K .

The general answer to this question is no.

- ▶ \mathcal{O}_L might not be free over \mathcal{O}_K
- ▶ $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is free over \mathbb{Z} , but not as a $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q})]$ -module

General results

Theorem (Noether's theorem)

\mathcal{O}_L is $\mathcal{O}_K[G]$ *locally-free* $\iff L/K$ is *tamely ramified*.

Corollary

\mathcal{O}_L *free* over $\mathcal{O}_K[G] \implies L/K$ is *tamely ramified*.

Theorem (Hilbert–Speiser's theorem)

L/\mathbb{Q} abelian L/\mathbb{Q} *abelian* + tame $\iff L/\mathbb{Q}$ admits a NIB
(i.e. \mathcal{O}_L is free over $\mathcal{O}_K[G]$)

Theorem (Greither, Replogle, Rubin, Srivastav 1999)

\mathbb{Q} is the only number field satisfying the H–S's thm

- ▶ **Martinet 1971** First example of L/\mathbb{Q} tame not admitting a NIB

Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}, \sqrt{21})$, and $m = \frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2} \frac{21+\sqrt{21}}{2}$

$$L_1 = F(\sqrt{m}) \quad L_2 = F(\sqrt{-3m})$$

$$L_i/\mathbb{Q} \text{ tame, } \text{Gal}(L_i/\mathbb{Q}) \cong Q_8$$

L_1/\mathbb{Q} admits a NIB

L_2/\mathbb{Q} does **not** admit a NIB

This example was very important and motivated Fröhlich's work on the **locally free class group**.

Since the 70's many authors worked on this topic in many directions: proving that tame extensions of a certain kind have a NIB, explicitly finding generators or giving counter examples.

A result for Kummer extensions

Gómez Ayala '94, idc+L. Rossi '10-'13

K number field, $\zeta_m \in K$, L/K finite Kummer extension

Case L/K cyclic: $L = K(\alpha)$, $\alpha = \sqrt[m]{a}$, $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$

$$a\mathcal{O}_K = \prod_{P \subset \mathcal{O}_K} P^{\nu_P(a)}$$

For $0 \leq i < m$ let

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{B}_i(a) = \prod_{P \subset \mathcal{O}_K} P^{\lfloor \frac{\nu_P(a^i)}{m} \rfloor}$$

\mathcal{B}_i is a sort of m -th root of $a^i \mathcal{O}_K$

Theorem

Let L/K be a *tame cyclic Kummer* extension of degree m .

L/K has a NIB $\iff \exists \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L$ such that $L = K(\alpha)$,

$\alpha^m = a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, and the following conditions hold:

1. \mathcal{B}_i is principal for all i ;

2. the congruence $\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\alpha^i}{b_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$

holds for some $b_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$, with $\mathcal{B}_i = b_i \mathcal{O}_K$.

In this case, the integer $\omega = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\alpha^i}{b_i}$ generates \mathcal{O}_L over $\mathcal{O}_K[G]$.

**

PROOF: \Leftarrow It is clear that $\mathcal{O}_K[G]\omega \subseteq \mathcal{O}_L$. To prove equality we have to show that $\text{disc}_{L/K}(\mathcal{O}_K[G]\omega) = \text{disc}_{L/K}(\mathcal{O}_L)$.

Let $G = \langle \sigma \rangle$ and let $\hat{G} = \langle \chi \rangle$, with $\chi(\sigma) = \zeta_m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{disc}_{L/K} \mathcal{O}_K[G] \omega &= \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} (\omega |\chi^i|)^2 = \prod_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{\alpha^{2i}}{b_i^2} = \frac{a^{m-1}}{(\prod b_i)^2} \\ &= \frac{\prod_{\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{P}^{(m-1) \text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}} a}}{\prod_{\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{P}^{2 \sum_i [i \text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}} a / m]}} = \prod_{\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{P}^{m - (m, \text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}} a)}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{disc}_{L/K}(\mathcal{O}_K[G] \omega) = \prod_{\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{P}^{m - (m, \text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}} a)}.$$

$$\text{disc}(L/K) = \prod_{\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{P}^{m - m/e_{\mathcal{P}}}$$

where $e_{\mathcal{P}}$ is the ramification index of \mathcal{P} in L/K .

Lemma

Let $L = K(\sqrt[m]{a})$ with $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Then, for any prime $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ tamely ramified in \mathcal{O}_L , we have

$$e_{\mathcal{P}} = \frac{m}{(m, \text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}}(a))}.$$

$$\text{disc}(L/K) = \prod_{\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{O}_K} \mathcal{P}^{m - (m, \text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}} a)}.$$

\Rightarrow Assume that L/K has a NIB generated by ω and let α be any Kummer generator of L/K . Then

$$\omega = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i \alpha^i, \text{ where } c_i \in K.$$

Using that $\text{disc}_{L/K}(\mathcal{O}_K[G]\omega) = \text{disc}(L/K)$ we get that ω has the required form and that the \mathcal{B}_i are principal.

Remark

- ▶ The criterion does not depend on the integral Kummer generator of the extension
- ▶ It is not always possible to satisfy condition 2 of the Theorem, even under condition 1.



General Kummer extensions

L/K be a Kummer extension of exponent m ($\zeta_m \in K$).

- ▶ $L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = K(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$;
- ▶ $a_j = \alpha_j^m \in \mathcal{O}_K$ for $j = 1, \dots, r$;
- ▶ $[L : K] = \prod_{j=1}^r [K(\alpha_j) : K] = N$;

For $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_m^r$ define $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{i}} = a_1^{i_1} \dots a_r^{i_r}$ and

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{i}} = \prod_{P \subset \mathcal{O}_K} P^{\lfloor \frac{\nu_P(\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{i}})}{m} \rfloor}$$

$\mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ the smallest ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\mathbf{a}^{\mathbf{i}}\mathcal{I}^{-m}$ is an integral ideal in \mathcal{O}_K .

Theorem (IDC, L. Rossi)

Let L/K *tamely ramified Kummer* extension of exponent m and degree N .

L/K has a NIB if and only if $\iff \exists \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \mathcal{O}_L^r$ s.t. $L = K(\alpha)$, and the following conditions hold:

1. \mathcal{B}_i is principal for any i ;
2. the congruence

$$\sum_i \frac{\alpha^i}{b_i} \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$$

holds for some $b_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$, with $\mathcal{B}_i = b_i \mathcal{O}_K$.

In this case, the integer $\omega = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \frac{\alpha^i}{b_i}$ generates \mathcal{O}_L over $\mathcal{O}_K[G]$.
Namely, $\mathcal{O}_L = \mathcal{O}_K[G]\omega$.

The Steintz class

Let L/K be a number fields extension, let v_1, \dots, v_n be an K -basis of L and let \mathcal{I} be the fractional ideal of \mathcal{O}_F such that

$$\text{disc}(L/K) = \mathcal{I}^2 \text{disc}_{K/F}(v_1, \dots, v_n).$$

The *Steinitz class* of L/K is the class of \mathcal{I} in $Cl(K)$

- it is well defined
- \mathcal{O}_L is a free \mathcal{O}_K -module $\iff [\mathcal{I}] = [\mathcal{O}_K]$
- Recall that if \mathcal{O}_L is free over $\mathcal{O}_K[G]$, then it is also free over \mathcal{O}_K

Proposition

Let L/K be a **tame** Kummer extension of exponent m , $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{O}_K^r$ such that $L = K(\sqrt[m]{\mathbf{a}})$.

Then the Steinitz class of L/K is the ideal class of $(\prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_m^r} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{i}})^{-1}$.

Namely, \mathcal{O}_L is free over $\mathcal{O}_K \iff \prod_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_m^r} \mathcal{B}_{\mathbf{i}}$ is principal.

The case $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m, \sqrt[m]{a_i} | i = 1, \dots, n)$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$

Case $K = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$, $L = K(\sqrt[m]{a_1}, \dots, \sqrt[m]{a_r})$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Explicit tameness condition. $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_s^{n_s}$ is odd

$\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m, \sqrt[m]{a})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m)$ with $(a, m) = 1$ is tame \iff

$$a^{p_j-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p_j^{n_j+1}} \text{ for all } j.$$

Existence of integral basis over \mathcal{O}_K . If L/K is tame then it admits an integral basis.

Existence of a NIB over \mathcal{O}_K . Kawamoto ('85), Ichimura (2010): L/K cyclic, m sqf-free, $(a, m) = 1$, then L/K tame \iff NIB.

The same is no more true for non-cyclic extensions.

Example. $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3, \sqrt[3]{10}, \sqrt[3]{46})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$ is tame but has no NIB.

If in addition $(a_i, a_j) = 1$ for all $i \neq j \Rightarrow \exists$ NIB.

Thank you!

